

General Solution for the Static, Spherical and Asymptotically Flat Braneworld*

Keiichi Akama, Takashi Hattori^A, and Hisamitsu Mukaida

Department of Physics, Saitama Medical University, Saitama, 350-0495, Japan and

^A*Department of Physics, Kanagawa Dental College, Yokosuka, 238-8580, Japan*

(Dated: July 27, 2012)

The general solution for the static, spherical and asymptotically flat braneworld is derived by solving the bulk Einstein equation and braneworld dynamics. We show that it involves a large arbitrariness, which reduces the predictive powers of the theory. Ways out of the difficulty are discussed.

PACS numbers: 04.50.-h, 04.50.Kd, 11.10.Kk, 11.25.Mj

Einstein gravity is successful in explaining (i) the Newton's law of universal gravitation for moderate distances, and (ii) the post-Newtonian evidences (light deflections and planetary perihelion precessions due to solar gravity, etc.). The explanations are achieved via the Schwarzschild solution of the Einstein equation based on the ansatz (a) staticity, (b) spherical symmetry, (c) asymptotic flatness, and (d) emptiness. On the other hand, there are many plausible reasons that tempt us to take our 3+1 dimensional curved spacetime as a "braneworld" embedded in higher dimensions ("bulk") [1]–[37]. There exist naive expectations that the braneworld theories inherit the successes of the Einstein gravity. It is, however, not a trivial problem because they are not based on the brane Einstein equation, but on the coupled system of the bulk Einstein equation and the equation of motion of the braneworld. In the previous paper, we obtained the general solution of the diagonal components of the equations at the brane [36]. In this paper, we derive the general solution of the full set of the fundamental equations all over the bulk under the Schwarzschild ansatz (a)–(d). We find that it involves a large arbitrariness, which reduces the predictive powers of the theory. For the braneworlds, the Schwarzschild ansatz require more precise specifications of the meaning. We impose the staticity (a) all over the bulk, while the asymptotic flatness (c) only on the braneworld, and not necessarily outside. As for the sphericity (b), we assume symmetry under all the global rotations which maps the braneworld onto itself. We assume the emptiness (d) only outside the braneworld, and allow matter and energy distributions within a thin region inside the brane. The empty region is not necessarily infinite, but can be bounded due to topological structures or other objects.

In order to seek for the general solution, we begin with examining what are the equations to be solved. For definiteness, we consider the 3+1 dimensional Nambu-Goto type brane in 4+1 curved spacetime with Ein-

stein type gravity. Let X^I be the bulk coordinate, and $g_{IJ}(X^K)$ be the bulk metric at the point X^K [38]. Let the brane be located at $X^I = Y^I(x^\mu)$ in the bulk, where x^μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) are parameters which serve as the brane coordinate. The dynamical variables of the system are $g_{IJ}(X^K)$, $Y^I(x^\mu)$, and matter fields. The $Y^I(x^\mu)$ should be taken as the collective modes of the brane formed by matter interactions. Note that the brane induced metric $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = Y^I_{,\mu} Y^J_{,\nu} g_{IJ}(Y^K)$ of the brane cannot be a dynamical variable, since it alone cannot completely specify the state of the braneworld [38]. Then, the action integral is given by

$$S = S_g + S_Y + S_m \quad \text{with} \quad (1)$$

$$S_g = \int \sqrt{-g} (-2\lambda + \kappa^{-1} R) d^5 X, \quad (2)$$

$$S_Y = -2\tilde{\lambda} \int \sqrt{-\tilde{g}} d^4 x, \quad (3)$$

where S_m is the matter action, $g = \det g_{IJ}$, $R = R^I_{I}$, $R_{IJ} = R^K_{IJK}$, R^L_{IJK} is the bulk curvature tensor written in terms of g_{IJ} , $\tilde{g} = \det \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, and λ , κ , and $\tilde{\lambda}$ are constants. We add no artificial fine-tuning terms. The equations of motion derived from (1) are

$$E_{IJ} \equiv R_{IJ} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{IJ} + \kappa (T_{IJ} + \lambda g_{IJ}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$(\tilde{\lambda} \tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{T}^{\mu\nu}) Y^I_{;\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (5)$$

and those for matters, where T_{IJ} and $\tilde{T}_{\mu\nu}$ are the energy momentum tensors with respect to g_{IJ} and $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$, respectively [38]. Eq. (4) is the bulk Einstein equation, and eq. (5) is the Nambu-Goto equation for the braneworld dynamics. The Einstein equation of the brane does not exist.

In accordance with the ansatz of staticity and sphericity, we introduce time, radial, polar and azimuth coordinates, $X^0 = t$, $X^1 = r$, $X^2 = \theta$, and $X^3 = \varphi$, respectively, and the normal geodesic coordinate $X^4 = z$, such that $X^\mu = x^\mu$ ($\mu = 0, \dots, 3$) and $z = 0$ at the brane. According to staticity and sphericity we can generally choose the metric tensor g_{IJ} of the form

$$ds^2 = g_{IJ} dX^I dX^J = f dt^2 - h dr^2 - k (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) - dz^2, \quad (6)$$

*This paper is based on a note submitted to the Japanese Physical Society 2011 Annual Meeting which was canceled due to the earthquake in Tohoku district in March.

where f , h and k are functions of r and z only, and we choose as $k|_{z=0} = r^2$ using diffeomorphism. Asymptotic flatness of the brane implies that

$$f, h \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{at} \quad z = 0. \quad (7)$$

The independent non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor R_{IJ} are

$$R_{00} = -f_{zz}/2 + f_z^2/4f - f_z h_z/4h - f_z k_z/2k - f_{rr}/2h + f_r^2/4fh + f_r h_r/4h^2 - f_r k_r/2kh, \quad (8)$$

$$R_{11} = h_{zz}/2 - h_z^2/4h + f_z h_z/4f + h_z k_z/2k + f_{rr}/2f - f_r^2/4f^2 - f_r h_r/4fh + k_{rr}/k - k_r^2/2k^2 - k_r h_r/2kh, \quad (9)$$

$$R_{22} = k_{zz}/2 + f_z k_z/4f + h_z k_z/4h + k_{rr}/2h + f_r k_r/4fh - h_r k_r/4h^2 - 1, \quad (10)$$

$$R_{44} = f_{zz}/2f + h_{zz}/2h + k_{zz}/k - f_z^2/4f^2 - h_z^2/4h^2 - k_z^2/2k^2, \quad (11)$$

$$R_{14} = f_{zr}/2f + k_{zr}/k - f_z f_r/4f^2 - h_z f_r/4fh - h_z k_r/2hk - k_z k_r/2k^2, \quad (12)$$

where subscripts r and z indicate partial differentiations.

We first solve the bulk Einstein equation (4) alone without brane dynamics (5). For a while, we also discard emptiness assuming that T_{IJ} is not necessarily vanishing. Staticity and sphericity imply that only T_{00} , T_{11} , T_{22} , T_{44} and T_{14} among T_{IJ} are independent, and functions of z and r only. We rewrite the equation (4) into the equivalent form

$$F_{IJ} \equiv E_{IJ} - E_K^K g_{IJ}/3 = 0, \quad (13)$$

where those with $(I, J) = (0,0), (1,1), (2,2), (4,4)$ and $(1,4)$ are independent. We have five equations for three functions f , h and k . Now we show that the five equations are not all independent. The Bianchi identity of the curvature tensor and covariant conservation law of energy-momentum tensor imply

$$F_{14,4} = -F_{00,1}/2f - F_{11,1}/2h + F_{22,1}/k + F_{44,1}/2 - F_{11}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,1}/2h - F_{14}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,4}/2, \quad (14)$$

$$F_{44,4} = -F_{00,4}/f + F_{11,4}/h + 2F_{22,1}/k - 2F_{14,1}/h - F_{14}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,1}/h - F_{44}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,4}. \quad (15)$$

We expand every quantity in terms of z . We denote by $A^{[n]}(r)$ the coefficient of the z^n term in the expansion of any function $A(r, z)$:

$$A(r, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{[n]}(r) z^n. \quad (16)$$

Let us assume $F_{00} = F_{11} = F_{22} = 0$. Then, we have

$$F_{14}^{[n]} = [F_{44,1}/2 - F_{14}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,4}/2]^{[n-1]}/n, \quad (17)$$

$$F_{44}^{[n]} = [-2F_{14,1}/h - F_{14}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,1}/h - F_{44}\{\ln(k^2 f/h)\}_{,4}]^{[n-1]}/n \quad (18)$$

for $n \geq 1$. The right-hand side of eqs. (17) and (18) are linear combinations of $F_{14}^{[j]}$ and $F_{44}^{[j]}$ with $0 \leq j \leq$

$n-1$ and their r -derivatives. Therefore, if we have $F_{14}^{[0]} = F_{44}^{[0]} = 0$, we can conclude that $F_{14}^{[n]} = F_{44}^{[n]} = 0$ for any $n \geq 1$. Thus, the independent equations to be solved are

$$F_{00} = F_{11} = F_{22} = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$F_{14}^{[0]} = F_{44}^{[0]} = 0. \quad (20)$$

From the viewpoints of (19) and (20) the treatment in Ref. [36] is insufficient, because there we considered only $F_{00} = F_{11} = F_{22} = F_{44} = 0$ on the brane. It was, however, reasonable that we considered only on-brane equations, since only they are essential to determine the brane physics, as will be seen soon. The equations in (19) imply the recursion formulae

$$f^{[n]} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left[\frac{f_z^2}{2f} - \frac{f_z h_z}{2h} - \frac{f_z k_z}{k} - \frac{f_{rr}}{h} + \frac{f_r^2}{2fh} + \frac{f_r h_r}{2h^2} - \frac{f_r k_r}{kh} + 2\kappa \left(T_{00} - \frac{Tf}{3} - \frac{2\lambda f}{3} \right) \right]^{[n-2]}, \quad (21)$$

$$h^{[n]} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left[\frac{h_z^2}{2h} - \frac{f_z h_z}{2f} - \frac{h_z k_z}{k} - \frac{f_{rr}}{f} + \frac{f_r^2}{2f^2} + \frac{f_r h_r}{2fh} - \frac{2k_{rr}}{k} + \frac{k_r^2}{k^2} + \frac{f_r k_r}{kh} - 2\kappa \left(T_{11} + \frac{Th}{3} + \frac{2\lambda h}{3} \right) \right]^{[n-2]}, \quad (22)$$

$$k^{[n]} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \left[-\frac{f_z k_z}{2f} - \frac{h_z k_z}{h} - \frac{k_{rr}}{h} + \frac{f_r k_r}{2fh} + \frac{h_r k_r}{2h^2} + 2 - 2\kappa \left(T_{22} + \frac{Tk}{3} + \frac{2\lambda k}{3} \right) \right]^{[n-2]} \quad (23)$$

for $n \geq 2$. The right-hand side of eqs. (21)–(23) are written in terms of $f^{[j]}$, $h^{[j]}$, and $k^{[j]}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. This means that, if we have $f^{[0]}$, $h^{[0]}$, $k^{[0]}$, $f^{[1]}$, $h^{[1]}$, and $k^{[1]}$, we can recursively determine $f^{[n]}$, $h^{[n]}$, and $k^{[n]}$ for any $n \geq 2$. Therefore, we can uniquely derive f , h and k in a bulk region around the brane if we know f , h , k , f_z , h_z and k_z on the brane. They are, however, not all independent, but should obey eq. (20). For notational simplicity, we define the variables ϕ , ψ , a , b and c with

$$f^{[0]} = e^{2\phi}, \quad h^{[0]} = e^{2\psi}, \quad (24)$$

$$f^{[1]} = -2af^{[0]}, \quad h^{[1]} = -2bh^{[0]}, \quad k^{[1]} = -2ck^{[0]}. \quad (25)$$

Remember that we have chosen as $k^{[0]} = r^2$ using diffeomorphism. The matrix $\text{diag}(a, b, c)$ gives the extrinsic curvature of the brane. Eliminating $f^{[2]}$, $h^{[2]}$ and $k^{[2]}$ from eq. (20) with (21)–(23) for $n = 2$, we obtain

$$a_r + 2c_r + (a-b)\phi_r + 2(c-b)/r = \kappa\tau_{14}, \quad (26)$$

$$e^{-2\psi}[\phi_{rr} + (\phi_r - \psi_r)(\phi_r + 2/r) - (e^{2\psi} - 1)/r^2] + ab + 2ac + 2bc + c^2 = \kappa(\tau_{44} - \lambda), \quad (27)$$

where $\tau_{IJ} = T_{IJ}|_{z=0}$. The first line of (27) is equal to $-\tilde{R}/2$, where $\tilde{R}$ is the scalar curvature of the brane. Note that $\tilde{R}$ is not equal to the bulk scalar curvature R at the brane.

Thus, we have two equations (26) and (27) for five functions ϕ , ψ , a , b and c for a given set of τ_{14} and τ_{44} . Therefore, the solution includes three arbitrary functions. Now, we choose a , b and c arbitrarily. If $a \neq b$, eqs. (26) and (27) are solved to give the solution:

$$\phi = - \int_r^\infty A dr, \quad (28)$$

$$2\psi = - \int_r^\infty P dr - \ln \left(\eta - \int_r^\infty Q e^{-\int_r^\infty P dr} dr \right) \quad (29)$$

with an arbitrary constant η and the functions

$$A = [\kappa\tau_{14} - a_r - 2c_r + 2(b-c)/r]/(a-b), \quad (30)$$

$$P = 2[A_r + (A + 1/r)^2]/(A + 2/r), \quad (31)$$

$$Q = \frac{\kappa(\tau_{44} - \lambda) + 1/r^2 - ab - 2ac - 2bc - c^2}{A/2 + 1/r}. \quad (32)$$

On the other hand, if $a = b$, the functions c and ϕ are arbitrary, and the solution is given by

$$a = b = -B - 2c, \quad (33)$$

$$2\psi = - \int_r^\infty \tilde{P} dr - \ln \left[\tilde{\eta} - \int_r^\infty \tilde{Q} e^{-\int_r^\infty \tilde{P} dr} dr \right], \quad (34)$$

with an arbitrary constant $\tilde{\eta}$ and the functions

$$B = r \int_r^\infty (\kappa\tau_{14}/r - 3c/r^2) dr, \quad (35)$$

$$\tilde{P} = 2[\phi_{rr} + (\phi_r + 1/r)^2]/(\phi_r + 2/r), \quad (36)$$

$$\tilde{Q} = 2[\kappa(\tau_{44} - \lambda) + 1/r^2 + 3c^2 - B^2]/(\phi_r + 2/r). \quad (37)$$

The ranges of integration in (28), (29), (34) and (35) are chosen in accordance with the asymptotic flatness of the brane. The denominators in (31), (32), (36) and (37) are not identically vanishing because, if so, we have

$$\phi = -2 \ln Cr \quad (38)$$

with a constant C , and the brane cannot be asymptotically flat. Thus, the solutions for f , h and k with ϕ , ψ , a , b and c by (28) and (29) or (33) and (34) expire all the solutions of the bulk Einstein equation (4) (alone) under the ansatze (a)staticity, (b)sphericity and (c)asymptotic flatness. They are not the final solutions because the T_{IJ} 's still depend on f , h and k through the matter equations. To get final ones, we should solve the coupled system of the equations. If we add, however, the ansatz (d) of emptiness i. e. $T_{IJ} = 0$, the present solutions become final ones. Thus we have obtained the general solution of the bulk Einstein equation (alone) in the region including the brane under the premised ansatze (a)–(d).

So far we considered the solutions starting with choosing a , b and c as the three arbitrary functions. We can choose other sets of the arbitrary functions. If we choose f , h and $a + 2c$ as arbitrary, the equations (26) and (27) become, respectively, a linear and a quadratic algebraic equations for a and b , and they are solved easily. Then,

we can obtain another expression for the general solution of the bulk Einstein equation (alone).

Now we turn to the solution for the “braneworld”. It is a thin physical object accompanied by matter distribution in the region $|z| < \delta$, where δ is the infinitesimal thickness of the brane. The bulk region outside the brane is separated into two regions $D^\pm$ with $\pm z > \delta$. The Einstein equation inside the brane and the infinitesimal nature of the thickness δ lead to the Israel junction condition [39]. The extrinsic curvature $\text{diag}(a, b, c, c)$ should have a gap across the brane. We denote in $\alpha^\pm = \alpha|_{z=\pm\delta}$ for $\alpha = a, b, c$, and $\bar{\alpha} = (\alpha^+ + \alpha^-)/2$ and $\Delta\alpha = \alpha^+ - \alpha^-$. The matters obey the equations of motion derived from the action $S_Y + S_m$, where the collective mode Y^I is assumed to be separated and to obey the Nambu-Goto equation (5). Here we assume dominance of the collective mode in the energy-momentum tensor. Then, the Nambu-Goto equation and the Israel junction condition imply

$$\bar{a} + \bar{b} + 2\bar{c} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\Delta a = \Delta b = \Delta c = \Delta, \quad (40)$$

where Δ is a constant. Since $T_{IJ}^\pm = 0$ in $D^\pm$, eqs. (26) and (27) imply

$$\bar{a}_r + 2\bar{c}_r + (\bar{a} - \bar{b})\phi_r + 2(\bar{c} - \bar{b})/r = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$-\tilde{R}/2 + \bar{a}\bar{b} + 2\bar{a}\bar{c} + 2\bar{b}\bar{c} + \bar{c}^2 + 3\Delta^2/2 + \kappa\lambda = 0. \quad (42)$$

Now, we have three equations (39), (41) and (42) for five functions ϕ , ψ , $\bar{a}$, $\bar{b}$ and $\bar{c}$. Therefore, the solution includes two arbitrary functions. If we choose $\bar{a}$ and $\bar{b}$ arbitrarily, we have the same solution as (28)–(37) with a , b , c , τ_{14} and τ_{44} replaced by $\bar{a}$, $\bar{b}$, $-(\bar{a} + \bar{b})/2$, 0 and $-3\Delta^2/2\kappa$, respectively. Then, the general solution of the braneworld with the ansatze (a)–(d) is given by the recursion formulae (21)–(23) with $T_{IJ} = 0$. If we choose ϕ and ψ as another choice of the two arbitrary functions, (41) becomes a linear differential equation for $a + 2c$ with the algebraic constraints from (39) and (42). They give a non-linear differential equation. It is expected to have solutions under a certain wide class of the conditions for existence. Then, we have another form of the general solution of the braneworld with the ansatze (a)–(d).

Therefore the general solution involve large arbitrariness which reduces the predictability of the theories. It includes the cases where it coincides with the Schwarzschild solution on the brane. It also includes, however, continuously deformed solutions which do not satisfy the brane Einstein equation. It involves arbitrarily deformed Newtonian potentials, and arbitrary amount of light deflections and planetary perihelion precessions due to solar gravity. We need further physical prescriptions to make these predictions. In some models, people require Z2 symmetry with respect to the brane. In this case, we have

$$a^\pm = b^\pm = c^\pm = \pm\Delta/2, \quad (43)$$

$$-\tilde{R} + 3\Delta^2 + 2\kappa\lambda = 0. \quad (44)$$

Accordingly $\bar{a} = \bar{b} = \bar{c} = 0$, and the arbitrariness is much reduced. Eq. (44), however, still leaves an arbitrary function among ϕ and ψ . It is the general solution found by Visser and Wiltshire [25]. Thus, we need further conditions. For, example, conditions for the bulk behavior may improve the situation. It is an urgent open problem to be investigated in the future. Another interesting way out is the idea of the brane induced gravity [4], [12], [20], [21], [23], [30], [31]. In this case, the Einstein-Hilbert action of the brane is induced through the quantum effects of the matters on the brane, and the Einstein gravity emerges effectively [40]. The composite metric serves as the effective dynamical variable, unlike in the classical braneworld given by (1). Such roles of the quantum-induced composite field have been well understood in some models [41]. It provides a promising mechanism for induced field theories.

We would like to thank Professor I. Antoniadis,

Professor T. Asaka, Professor E. J. Copeland, Professor G. R. Dvali, Professor G. Gabadadze, Professor G. W. Gibbons, Professor M. Giovannini, Professor R. Gregory, Professor K. Hashimoto, Professor A. Hebecker, Professor T. Inami, Professor P. Kanti, Professor A. Kobakhidze, Professor S. Nam, Professor I. P. Neupane, Professor I. Oda, Professor M. Peloso, Professor S. Randjbar-Daemi, Professor M. E. Shaposhnikov, Professor M. Shifman, Professor A. Vainshtein, Professor R. R. Volkas, Professor D. Wands, Professor C. Wetterich, and Professor D. L. Wiltshire for invaluable discussions and for their kind hospitalities over us when we visited them.

This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No. 13640297, 17500601, and 22500819 from Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

-
- [1] C. Fronsdal, *Nuovo Cim.* **13**, 5 (1959).
 - [2] D. W. Joseph, *Phys. Rev.* **126**, 319 (1962).
 - [3] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, in *Marcel Grossman Meeting on Relativity, 1975* (North Holland, 1977) 77.
 - [4] K. Akama, Talk given at International Symposium on Gauge Theory and Gravitation, Nara, Japan, 1982; *Lect. Notes in Phys.* **176**, 267 (1983).
 - [5] V. A. Rubakov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, *Phys. Lett.* **B125**, 136 (1983).
 - [6] M. D. Maia, *J. Math. Phys.* **25**, 2090 (1984).
 - [7] M. Visser, *Phys. Lett.* **B159**, 22 (1985).
 - [8] M. Pavšič, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2**, 869 (1985); *Phys. Lett. A* **107**, 66 (1985).
 - [9] H. Nicolai and C. Wetterich, *Phys. Lett.* **B150**, 347 (1985).
 - [10] S. Randjbar-Daemi and C. Wetterich, *Phys. Lett. B* **166**, 65 (1986).
 - [11] G. W. Gibbons and D. L. Wiltshire, *Nucl. Phys.* **B287**, 717 (1987).
 - [12] K. Akama, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **78**, 184 (1987), **79**, 1299 (1988), **80**, 935 (1988).
 - [13] A. Nakamura, S. Hirenzaki and K. Shiraishi, *Nucl. Phys. B* **339**, 533 (1990).
 - [14] I. Antoniadis, *Phys. Lett.* **B246**, 377 (1990).
 - [15] J. Polchinski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4724–4727 (1995).
 - [16] P. Horava and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B460**, 506–524 (1996); *Nucl. Phys.* **B475**, 94–114 (1996).
 - [17] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, *Phys. Lett.* **B429**, 263–272 (1998); *Phys. Rev.* **D59**, 086004 (1999).
 - [18] I. Antoniadis, N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, and G. Dvali, *Phys. Lett.* **B436**, 257–263 (1998).
 - [19] L. Randall, R. Sundrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3370–3373 (1999); 4690–4693 (1999).
 - [20] K. Akama and T. Hattori, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A15**, 2017 (2000).
 - [21] G. Dvali, G. Gabadadze, and M. Porrati, *Phys. Lett.* **B485**, 208 (2000).
 - [22] T. Shiromizu, K. I. Maeda, and M. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev.* **D62**, 024012 (2000).
 - [23] G. Dvali and G. Gabadadze, *Phys. Rev. D* **63**, 065007 (2001).
 - [24] J. Garriga and T. Tanaka, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 2778 (2000).
 - [25] M. Visser and D. L. Wiltshire, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 104004 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212333].
 - [26] R. Casadio and L. Mazzacurati, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **18**, 651 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0205129].
 - [27] K. A. Bronnikov, V. N. Melnikov and H. Dehnen, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 024025 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0304068].
 - [28] P. Kanti, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19**, 4899 (2004); *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **189**, 012020 (2009).
 - [29] S. Creek, R. Gregory, P. Kanti and B. Mistry, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 6633 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606006].
 - [30] K. Akama, arXiv: gr-qc/0607106 (2006); K. Akama and T. Hattori, submitted for publication (2009).
 - [31] G. Gabadadze, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **171**, 88 (2007).
 - [32] A. Davidson, D. P. George, A. Kobakhidze, R. R. Volkas, K. C. Wali, *Phys. Rev.* **D77**, 085031 (2008).
 - [33] C. Wetterich, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 043503 (2008); **81**, 103508 (2010); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 141303 (2009).
 - [34] Y. Kodama, K. Kokubu and N. Sawado, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 045001 (2008); *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 065024 (2009).
 - [35] R. Gregory, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **769**, 259 (2009).
 - [36] K. Akama, T. Hattori and H. Mukaida, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **26**, 2869 (2011).
 - [37] I. C. Jardim, R. R. Landim, G. Maciel and R. N. C. Filho, arXiv:1105.4578 [gr-qc].
 - [38] Throughout this paper, uppercase suffices run 0 to 4, lowercase ones, 0 to 3, the suffices following comma indicate differentiations with respect to the coordinate variables, and those following semicolon, covariant differentiations.
 - [39] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cim. B* **44S10**, 1 (1966) [Erratum-ibid. *B* **48**, 463 (1967 NUCIA,B44,1.1966)].
 - [40] A. D. Sakharov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **177**, 70 (1967) [*Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **12**, 1040 (1968)]; K. Akama, Y. Chikashige and T. Matsuki, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **59**, 653 (1978); K. Akama, Y. Chikashige, T. Matsuki and H. Terazawa, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **60**, 868 (1978); K. Akama, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **60**, 1900 (1978); A. Zee,

- Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 417 (1979); S. L. Adler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 1567 (1980); C. Barcelo, S. Liberati and M. Visser, Living Rev. Rel. **8**, 12 (2005); B. Broda and M. Szanecki, Phys. Lett. B **674**, 64 (2009).
- [41] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345 (1961); J. D. Bjorken, Ann. Phys. **24**, 174 (1963); D. J. Gross and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 3235 (1974); H. Terazawa, Y. Chikashige and K. Akama, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 480 (1977); K. Akama and T. Hattori, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 3688 (1989); V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Lett. **B221**, 177 (1989); Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4**, 1043 (1989); W.A. Bardeen, C.T. Hill and M. Lindner, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1647 (1990). K. Akama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 184 (1996); K. Akama, Nucl. Phys. A **629**, 37C (1998); K. Akama and T. Hattori, Phys. Lett. B **445**, 106 (1998). K. Akama, Phys. Lett. B **583**, 207 (2004); A. Akabane and K. Akama, Prog. Theor. Phys. **112**, No. 4 (2004);